SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
	02.11.2026		**WPSB/683/2026** Union of India through Secretary Ministry of Defence and others. --Petitioners **Versus** Laxman Singh Bisht and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** 1. Sri Rajesh Sharma, learned Standing Counsel for the Union of India / petitioners. 2. Union of India has challenged judgment dated 24.07.2026 passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (Nainital Circuit Sitting) in Original Application No. 1028 of 2025 titled '*Laxman Singh Bisht and others vs. UOI and others*'. By the said judgment, the Original Application, filed by respondents claiming second financial upgradation in Pay Scale of Rs. 5,000-150-8,000 revised Pay Scale Rs. 9,300-34,800 Grade Pay of Rs. 4,200/- was allowed. 3. The impugned judgment is reproduced below:- *"2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the order passed by this Tribunal has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court, which has upheld the order of this Tribunal. The said order of the Hon'ble High Court has further been challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court by way of an SLP bearing No. 36457-36460/2025. However, there is no stay operating against the order passed by this Tribunal.*

3 Learned counsel for the applicants further submits that in the execution proceedings arising out of the same matter, the order has already been implemented, subject to the outcome of the aforesaid SLP It is submitted that similar directions may be issued in the present Original Application also.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents relies upon the averments contained in the reply and submits that since there is no stay against the order passed by this Tribunal, there is no impediment in implementing the said order.

5. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, we find that there is no stay operating against the order passed by this Tribunal. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to comply with the directions issued by this Tribunal, subject to the outcome of the pending SLP.

6. The aforesaid compliance shall, however, be undertaken after obtaining an appropriate undertaking from the applicants that, in the event the respondents succeed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the pending SLP, the applicants shall refund the amount received by them pursuant to such compliance.

7. The Original Application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending M.As., if any, shall also stand disposed of."

4. Reliefs sought in the Original Application by respondents are as follows:-

"*I. A direction to quash the impugned orders dated 01.12.2010, 13-12-2010 and 20.06.2011 (Annexure A-I, A-2 and A-3 to the OA) to the extent it treats the grade pay of Rs. 2800/- as promotion for the purpose of ACPIMACP. (contained as Annexure No. A-1, A-2, and A-3 to the original application),*

II. To issue an order directing the respondents to grant the IInd Financial up-gradation to the applicants in the pay scale of 5,000-8,000 revised 9,300-34,800 grade pay of Rs 4,200/-, Pay Band-2 with effect from the completion of

20 years of their service with all consequential benefits.

III. To pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IV. To Award the cost of the petition."

5. Sri Rajesh Sharma, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India / petitioners, submits that identical relief, granted by Central Administrative Tribunal in favour of other similarly situate persons, was upheld by Coordinate Bench in Writ Petition (S/B) Nos. 320 of 2015 and 321 of 2015. He submits that since the issue is identical, therefore the writ petition can be decided in terms of the judgment dated 11.06.2024 rendered in Writ Petition (S/B) No. 320 of 2015.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the submission made by Sri Rajesh Sharma, learned Standing Counsel for Union of India / petitioners, we dispose of the writ petition in terms of judgment dated 11.06.2024 rendered in Writ Petition (S/B) No. 320 of 2015.

7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(Subhash Upadhyay, J.) **(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.)**
02.11.2026 02.11.2026
Rathour

PRAVINDRA SINGH RATHOUR
