| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **UKHC010188812026**<br>**BA1/1787/2026**<br><br>Brijendra Singh Rawat<br>--Applicant<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br><br>**Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.**<br><br>Mr. B.D. Jha, Advocate for the applicant.<br><br>2. Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. S.C. Dumka, A.G.A. for the State.<br><br>3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 203 of 2025, under Sections 420, 406, 419, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at P.S.-Doiwala, District Dehradun, has sought his release on bail.<br><br>4. As per the prosecution story, one Sukkad executed a sale deed in favour of the complainant in which the applicant and one other person were the witnesses. The said sale deed was executed on 08.01.2015.<br><br>5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that though applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that applicant was only the witness to the said sale deed and it was executed in the year 2015, whereas, complaint was moved after more than ten years i.e. on 21.07.2025; that even as per the allegations made in the FIR, the transactions were made in cash and on this basis, it is submitted that the whole prosecution story is full of surmises; that after investigation, chargesheet has been filed only |

against the applicant and the other persons named in the FIR were not made the accused in the chargesheet; and that the applicant is in judicial custody since 20.07.2026.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

7. Per contra, learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application.

8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that applicant was only the witness to the said sale deed which was executed in the year 2015, whereas, complaint was moved after more than ten years i.e. on 21.07.2025, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.

ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.

iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court.

11. The bail granted to the applicant shall be liable to be cancelled in the event of any violation of the aforesaid conditions or if the applicant is found to have misused the liberty granted to him.

**(Alok Mahra J.)**

27.10.2026

Ujjwal

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |