SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/2323/2026 Saleem Ahmed And Ors ……Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand …….Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Sachin Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Mr. V.S. Pal, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Present C-528 application has been filed seeking quashing of the entire proceedings of Misc. Case No.1075 of 2025, pending before the Court of learned 1st Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Roorkee, District Haridwar. 4. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that the dispute arises out of matrimonial discord between the parties; that, applicant no.1 is the husband, applicant no.2 is the mother-in-law and applicant no.3 is the sister-in-law of respondent no.3; that, owing to certain matrimonial differences, respondent no.3 left her matrimonial home and started residing at her parental home; that, thereafter, respondent no.3 instituted Misc. Case No.1075 of 2025 against the applicants before the court concerned under Sections 18, 19, 21 and 22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic

			Violence Act, 2005; that, the court concerned issued summons to the applicants in the said proceedings, including under Sections 19 and 23 of the aforesaid Act; that, upon receipt of the summons, the applicants appeared before the court concerned and filed their objections, specifically denying the allegations of physical and mental harassment and asserting that respondent no.3 had been residing at her parental home without any sufficient cause. 5. Learned counsel would further submit that respondent no.3 has also instituted proceedings under Section 125 of the Cr.P.C. in the year 2025, seeking maintenance from applicant no.1; that, respondent no.3, without any reasonable cause, is residing separately from the applicants and has initiated multiple proceedings against them; that, the allegations levelled against the applicants are false and the proceedings initiated by respondent no.3 are not sustainable in the eyes of law, therefore, the entire proceedings of the aforesaid case are liable to be quashed. 6. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the applicants and submit that, as on date, no order has been passed by the court concerned and the proceedings are still pending consideration. He would further submit that the applicants have failed to

			make out any ground warranting interference by this Court at this stage and, therefore, the present C-528 application is liable to be dismissed. 7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 8. Upon consideration of the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and perusal of the material available on record, it is evident that the proceedings under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, are still pending before the court concerned and no order has been passed. The applicants have raised disputed questions of fact, including the circumstances of separate residence and allegations of domestic violence, which are to be examined by the court below. At this stage, no ground is made out for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 528 of the B.N.S.S. It is clarified that the observations made herein shall not affect the merits of the case pending before the court below. 9. Accordingly, the present C-528 application is dismissed. 10. No order as to costs. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 16.11.2026 Mamta