SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	UKHC010186632026 2026:UHC:10037 COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSS/2703/2026 Ranjay Kumar --Petitioner **Versus** Union Of India --Respondent **Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.** Mr. Vikas Pandey, learned Amicus Curiae for the petitioner. 2. Ms. Neeti Rana, learned Central Government Standing Counsel for respondent no.1. 3. Mr. Tanmay Tiwari, learned counsel for respondent no.2. 4. By the instant petition petitioner is praying for the following relief:- "I. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 21.06.2017 (Annexure no.10, page no.38 to this writ petition) passed by Respondent No.2 whereby the appointment of the petitioner to the post of Accountant was cancelled; II. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing Respondent no.2 to reconsider/restore the Petitioner's appointment to the post of Accountant in accordance with law and after considering the Petitioner's documented requests for extension of joining time and the applicable regulatory framework; III. upon finding the cancellation unlawful, grant such consequential service and monetary benefits from 15.07.2017 onwards;

			IV. To issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents, to remove the respondent no.3 from the position of Accountant, being illegally appointed on 17.07.2017." 5. Brief facts of the case are that the petitioner participated in a recruitment process in the year of 2017 in which he was successfully selected and offered appointment but he sought some more time to join since his mother was seriously ill however instead of granting some more time to join the appointment was offered to the another person. 6. It is argued that there is no specific rules with regard to the period stipulated for joining and there are standing orders of the Central Government that normally three months joining time should be given. Learned Amicus Curiae submits that the petitioner made a genuine request for seeking more time since his mother was seriously ill, therefore, in such an eventuality, the authority should consider his request for extension of time for joining by taking humanitarian approach. 7. Primafacie this Court is of the view that the respondents should take a very humanitarian and liberal approach particularly when a genuine request was made. 8. In such view of the matter, let the petitioner may make a fresh representation to respondent no.2 by raising all his grievance with standing orders of the Central Government and if such a representation is made, the respondent no.2 is directed to

			consider and examine and pass a reasoned order in the light of the Office Memorandum dated 09.08.1995 as well as as per the prevailing rules within one month from the date of the receipt of the order. It is made clear that that latches will not come into the way since due to the wrong advice initially the petitioner approached to the CAT then Lucknow High Court. 9. Subject to the observation and direction as above, the instant petition is disposed of finally. **(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)** 02.11.2026 Arti
