SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
	26.10.2026		**WPMB/894/2026** M/s Jai Ambey Emergency Services I Private Limited --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand & Ors. --Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** 1. Mr. D.S. Patni, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Himanshu Yadav and Mr. Kartikey Maulekhi, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Puran Singh Bisht, learned Chief Standing Counsel with Mr. J.C. Pandey, learned Standing Counsel for the State. 3. Mr. Arhendumauli Prasad, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Vijay Kumar and Mr. Sandeep Tiwari, learned counsel for respondent no.4. 4. Petitioner's technical bid submitted for the work of Emergency Response Services in Uttarakhand was declared to be non-responsive and that of respondent no.4 was declared to be responsive. Thus, feeling aggrieved, petitioner has approached this Court, seeking the following reliefs:- *"(a) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari, quashing the technical evaluation/result dated 25.09.2026 (Annexure No.6), insofar as it declares the petitioner technically non-responsive and the consortium led by respondent no.4 technically responsive, along with the consequential financial bid result dated 28.09.2026 (Annexure No.7).* *(b) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of*

mandamus directing respondent nos.2 and 3 to restore the petitioner's bid for consideration and undertake consequential technical and financial evaluation in accordance with the RFP and directions of this Hon'ble Court passed in W.P. (M/B) No.734 of 2026."

5. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the rejection order impugned in the writ petition is non-speaking as it does not indicate the reason wherefor petitioner's bid was found to be non-responsive.

6. Learned State Counsel as well as learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent no.4 concede that the impugned rejection order is silent as regards the reason for holding petitioner's bid non-responsive.

7. Having regard to the facts of the case, we dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondent no.2 to examine the issues raised by petitioner in his representation dated 30.09.2026 and pass appropriate order, as per law, within two weeks from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order.

(Subhash Upadhyay, J.) **(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.)**

26.10.2026

Rajni/KK