SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010183062024 **CLR/121/2024** Monu Gupta --Revisionist **Versus** Neki Ram --Respondent **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** 1. Mr. Narendra Bali and Mr. Bilal Ahmed (through V.C.), learned counsel for the revisionist. 2. Mr. Birendra Singh Adhikari, learned counsel for the respondent-caveator. **Time Extension Application (IA No. 7 of 2026)** 3. In the present revision, which was filed against the judgment and decree dated 01.10.2024, passed by learned Judge, Small Cause Court/District Judge, Haridwar, in SCC Suit No. 07 of 2023, Neki Ram vs. Monu Gupta, a Coordinate Bench vide order dated 07.05.2025, on the submission of Mr. Narendra Bali, learned counsel for revisionist granted time of one and a half year to the revisionist to hand over the possession of the property with a condition that the revisionist shall pay *mesne* profits at the rate of ₹13,000/- per month. Admittedly, the period of one and a half year has expired. 4. Learned counsel for the revisionist has filed a Time Extension Application No. 07 of 2026, whereby it has been stated that the revisionist has practical difficulties in handing over the possession due to ongoing construction of his own residential house. It has been stated that a further time of four months may be granted. The

			said application was filed on 12.10.2026 and counsel for the respondent has filed an objection-affidavit to the said application, wherein it has been contended that house of the revisionist has been constructed and photograph of the newly constructed house has been annexed as Annexure-2 to the said affidavit. 5. Learned counsel for the revisionist had sought time of four months vide Time Extension Application (IA No 07 of 2026) filed on 12.10.2026 and more than one month has elapsed since Time Extension Application was filed. As the house of the revisionist has already been constructed, as such, the interim order dated 07.05.2025 is extended only till 30th November, 2026. In case, the revisionist does not vacate the premises-in-question till 30th November, 2026, the respondent would be at liberty to proceed with the Execution Case No. 01 of 2024. 6. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that even the amount of ₹13,000/- per month, which was directed by the Court to be deposited by the revisionist, has still not been paid. In case, any such amount is due, the respondent shall be at liberty to stake the claim in the Execution Proceedings. 7. Time Extension Application (IA No. 07 of 2026) stands disposed of accordingly. **(Subhash Upadhyay, J.)** 20.11.2026 Kaushal