SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/2212/2026 Suraj Sharma And Ors --Applicant **Versus** Manmohan Yadav --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. B.S. Koranga and Mr. Shubham Chhabra (through Video Conferencing), learned counsel for the applicants. 2. The present C-528 application has been filed seeking to quash the impugned charge-sheet, summoning/ cognizance order dated 26.02.2026 passed in Criminal Case No.1072 of 2025, under Sections 323, 324, 504 and 506 of the I.P.C., as well as the entire criminal proceedings of the aforesaid case, pending before the Court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate/learned 2nd Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the complainant initially preferred an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. before the competent Magistrate, which was allowed and the matter was referred to the police for investigation; that, upon

			completion of the investigation, the Investigating Officer submitted a final report before the competent court; that, the protest petition filed by the complainant against the said final report was also dismissed by the learned Magistrate; that, being aggrieved by the said order, the complainant preferred a criminal revision, wherein an order dated 30.04.2025 was passed; that, pursuant thereto, the learned Magistrate proceeded to record the statements of the complainant and his witnesses under Sections 200 and 202 Cr.P.C.; that, thereafter, solely on the basis of the statements of the complainant and two witnesses, the learned Magistrate proceeded to pass the impugned summoning order dated 26.02.2026, without properly appreciating the material available on record and without application of judicial mind. 4. Learned counsel would further submit that the criminal proceedings have been initiated by the complainant with an ulterior motive to harass the applicant mentally and physically; that the learned Magistrate has passed the impugned summoning order in a mechanical manner, without undertaking proper scrutiny of the material placed before him. He, therefore, submits that the impugned summoning order is legally unsustainable and that the charge-sheet, summoning order and consequential criminal proceedings are liable to be quashed.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the applicant and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant do not warrant interference in the exercise of its inherent jurisdiction under Section 528 of the B.N.S.S.

7. A perusal of the material available on record indicates that the learned Magistrate, after considering the statements of the complainant and his witnesses recorded under Sections 200 and 202 Cr.P.C., has proceeded to summon the applicant. At the stage of taking cognizance and issuing process, the Magistrate is required to examine whether sufficient grounds exist for proceeding against the accused and is not required to undertake a meticulous evaluation of the evidence as would be necessary at the stage of trial.

8. In the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court does not find any patent illegality, perversity or jurisdictional error in the impugned summoning order warranting interference. No case for quashing the impugned consequential criminal proceedings is, therefore, made out.

9. Accordingly, the present C-528 application is dismissed.

10. No order as to costs.

Mamta

MAMTA RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bbf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.06 16:23:42 +05'30'

(Alok Mahra, J.)
06.11.2026