SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1651/2026 Azam --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Pankaj Singh Kariki proxy counsel for Mr. Navneet Kaushik, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Ms. Pushpa Bhatt, learned Addl. Advocate General along with Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. and Mr. Nikhil Bisht, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant-Azam, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 855 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Kotwali Laksar, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5/11 of the Uttarakhand Cow Progeny Act, 2007 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present

			case and has not committed the alleged offence. He would submit that the applicant is not named in the F.I.R. and his name surfaced solely on the basis of the confessional statement of the co-accused, who was arrested from the spot; that, no independent material has been brought on record to establish the applicant's involvement in the alleged offence; that, nothing has been recovered either from the possession of the applicant or at his instance, and the alleged recovery has been made from an open place which does not belong to the applicant. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 29.08.2026; that, he has no previous criminal history; and that, there is no likelihood of his tampering with the prosecution evidence or absconding, particularly as he is a permanent resident of District Haridwar; that, the co-accused persons have been granted bail in the similar case crime. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant may be enlarged on bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 29.08.2026 and the co-accused persons have been granted

bail.

8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant shall released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

(Alok Mahra J.)
03.11.2026

Mamta