SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010175152026 **BA1/1647/2026** Bhuvnesh Alias Jhonny --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Ramji Srivastava, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal, A.G.A. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 333 of 2025, under Section 103(1) of BNS, registered at P.S.- Doiwala, District Dehradun, has sought his release on bail. 4. As per the prosecution story, wife of the deceased lodged a complaint in which it was alleged that applicant used to threaten the deceased of dire consequences and on the fateful day also, applicant threatened him that either the deceased would ply auto of the applicant or abstain from plying his auto on the said road. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that there is no eye-witness to the whole incident; that applicant has been made an accused only on the basis of the statement of the complainant and the call details of the relevant date, which merely reflect two to three telephonic calls; that the whole

prosecution story is based on circumstantial evidence, but the chain is not complete; that there is no evidence to implicate the applicant with the said incident; and as such no FSL report has been obtained which would involve the applicant with the said incident and there is no strong motive attributed to the applicant; and that applicant is in judicial custody since 27.12.2025.

6. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records.

8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that no FSL report has been obtained which would involve the applicant with the said incident and there is no strong motive attributed to the applicant and applicant is in judicial custody since 27.12.2025, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

9. The bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

> "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
>
> ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.
>
> iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

11. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
26.11.2026

Ujjwal