SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
			ABA No.411 of 2026 Raj Singh .....Applicant Vs. State of Uttarakhand and others .....Respondents **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. S.K. Mandal, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Tumul K. Nainwal, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicant has moved this anticipatory bail application in FIR No.440 of 2026 dated 31.08.2026 under Sections 109, 3(5), 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. 2023, Police Station-Rudrapur, District-Udham Singh Nagar. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that impugned FIR has been lodged in counter blast to FIR No.0448 of 2026 lodged by father of the applicant – Manpreet Singh s/o Jogendra Singh under Sections 109, 118(1), 351(2), 352 of B.N.S. 2023 in Police Station-Rudrapur. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that on 26.08.2026 at around 10:00 P.M. applicant and Vishal Singh were having dinner in a Dhaba where Goldy Singh s/o Pappu Singh, Chikku Singh s/o Pappu Singh his cousin – Gurmeet Singh alias Goga s/o Gurdev Singh, Surjeet Singh alias Bunty s/o Gurcharan Singh and two unknown persons came and attacked the applicant with a sharp edged weapon; he sustained injuries and a shot was fired at Vishal Singh, who got injured, on which they were taken to hospital by Amrit Singh and Sandeep Singh. 6. The injury report of the applicant and Vishal Singh has also been brought on record as annexure nos.2 and 3 to the affidavit filed in support of the anticipatory bail application. 7. The injury report reflects that Vishal Singh sustained

gun-shot injury and applicant sustained a lacerated wound.

8. In the impugned FIR, the incident was said to have taken place on 26.08.2026 at about 10:00 P.M. and the FIR was registered on 31.08.2026.

9. From bare perusal of record, the contention raised by learned counsel for the applicant is not believable as the impugned FIR is prior in time than FIR of the applicant.

10. This Court, on the last date, has directed State counsel to get injury report of the victim of impugned FIR i.e., Surendra Singh.

11. Learned counsel for the State has passed on the injury report to this Court in which it is reflected that Surendra Singh sustained gun-shot injury in the lumbar region.

12. The instructions are taken on record.

13. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having perused the record, this Court is of the view that the offence alleged against the applicant is very serious in nature and Surendra Singh sustained fatal injury in such view of the matter, no case is made out for anticipatory bail.

14. Accordingly anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
27.10.2026

SK