SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	UKHC010174232026 2026:UHC:10664 COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA2/163/2026 Deepak --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.** Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned counsel with Mr. Sachin, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Himanshu Sain, learned AGA for the State. 3. Mr. Mohammad Suhail, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for the complainant. 4. The present applicant Deepak, S/o Late Ravindra Kumar @ Pappu moved the instant second bail application for seeking bail in relation to first information report dated 10.06.2026 bearing Case Crime No.231 of 2026, P.S. SIDCUL, District Haridwar wherein the present applicant alongwith 11 persons have been charge sheeted for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 117(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023. 5. This is second bail application. The first bail application was rejected by this Court on 15.07.2026. 6. Now the second bail application has been moved on the ground that after rejection of the first bail application, the charge sheet is filed on 03.09.2026 and in the charge sheet no specific role is assigned to the applicant. Learned counsel for the

			applicant also submits that the applicant is pursing B.Tech course and he has no criminal antecedents and is languishing in jail since 12.06.2026 and as now the charge sheet has been filed, therefore, there is no need of further custodial interrogation of the applicant and as such he may be released on bail. 7. On the other side Mr. Mohammad Suhail, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Sandeep Kothari, learned counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the bail application by submitting that the applicant was actively involved in the commission of the crime though he has not disputed that now the charge sheet has been filed. He also submits that the victim/injured is still under treatment and is not in a condition to give any statement, therefore, if the applicant is enlarged on bail he can temper with the evidence. He further submits that if the applicant is being released on bail some stringent conditions may be imposed. 8. Mr. Himanshu Sain, learned AGA for the State also have not disputed that the charge sheet is filed and also apprise that the present applicant have no criminal antecedents. 9. After hearing the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration that now the charge sheet is already filed and the applicant have no criminal antecedents and is pursuing his B.Tech course, there is no need of further custodial interrogation, therefore, this Court is of the view that applicant deserved for bail.

10. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the instant second bail application is allowed.

11. Let the present applicant-Deepak be released on bail on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to the following conditions:-

i. The applicant after being released on bail shall surrender his passport, if any, with the trial court within a week.

ii. The applicant after being released on bail shall not temper with the evidence and will not threaten any of the witnesses and shall join trial court proceeding on each and every date without seeking any unnecessary adjournment.

The grant of bail to the present applicant will not be treated as a precedent in respect of other co-accused.

(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)
20.11.2026

Arti
