SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA2/162/2026 Shakeel Ahmed --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Rakesh Thapliyal, J.** 1. Dr. Kartikey Hari Gupta, learned counsel with Ms. Irum Zeba, learned counsel for the appellant. 2. Mr. G.S. Sandhu, learned Addl. Advocate General with Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. The instant Second Bail Application is moved on behalf of the applicant Shakeel Ahmed, who is facing trial for the offences punishable under sections 64, 77, 78, 115(2), 329(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 r/w section 3/5 Uttarakhand Freedom of Religion Act, 2018. 4. The First Bail Application was rejected by this court on 10.06.2026 and now the instant Second Bail Application is moved on the ground that the applicant is languishing in jail since 16.02.2026 and the star witnesses have been examined and the victim has not supported the case of the prosecution version, except this there is no fresh ground in the instant Second Bail Application. 5. In addition to this, learned counsel for the applicant submits that the victim in the present case is a matured lady of 22 years and the relationship was consensual and since the investigation is already completed and the trial is going on there is no requirement for the detention of the applicant. 6. On the other side, Mr. Sandhu, learned Addl. Advocate General, vehemently oppose the instant Second Bail Application by submitting that

			firstly no fresh ground has been taken in the instant second bail application though first bail application was rejected by a reasoned order. 7. In addition to this, Mr. Sandhu also submits that in the present case there are the sufficient evidences that the victim was subjected to coercion and compelled to convert her religion from Hinduism to Islam. 8. Apart from this, he submits that there is a regular threat to the victim and her sister and on close scrutiny of the statement of the victim she infact supports the case of the prosecution. 9. After hearing the submissions as advanced by the learned counsel for the parties as well as the gravity of the offence particularly the evidence that there was regular compulsion to convert the religion and furthemore there is no fresh ground in the instant second bail application and there are the evidences, there was a regular threat to the victim and her sister, therefore, this court finds no ground to release the applicant on bail. 10. Accordingly, the instant second bail application is rejected. **(Rakesh Thapliyal, J.)** 31.10.2026 PR

