SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1607/2026 Paras --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Shashi Kant Shandilya and Ms. Nancy Singh, Advocates for the applicant. Mr. Chitrarth Kandpal, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./ Case Crime No.1023 of 2025, under Section 109, 3(5) of B.N.S., registered at Police Station Laksar, District Haridwar. 3. As per the prosecution case, the applicant, along with the other co-accused, allegedly opened fire, as a result of which Aman, the brother of the informant, sustained injuries. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 07.07.2026. It is further contended that the applicant was not present at the place of occurrence and no specific role has been attributed to him. Learned counsel further submits that the informant was also not present at the spot at the time of the incident. It is contended that, in his statement recorded under Section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the injured has stated that he could not identify the person who fired at him. Learned counsel further submits that the co-accused, namely, Raman, has already been enlarged on bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.10.2026 passed in BA1 No. 374 of 2026. On the aforesaid grounds,

			particularly the principle of parity, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on bail. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submits that the allegations levelled against the applicant are serious in nature. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 07.07.2026. It further transpires that the injured, in his statement recorded under Section 180 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has stated that he could not identify the person who fired at him. Moreover, the co-accused, namely, Raman, has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.10.2026 passed in BA1 No.374 of 2026. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the period of incarceration undergone by the applicant, and the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 26.10.2026 *Arpan*

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=ea8666a3895e41937c266c23964c04853365445e3a20ddd8d7393989ff6e45ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC17022BEAE8DE215D68D9D454C5109CB9874463514E4DF04AADAA2CJCEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.10.26 18:27:07 +05'30'