SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1602/2026 Tarun Singh Lakha Alias Lakkha --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Ajeet Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal and Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the State. 4. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.0187 of 2026, under Section 109(1), 351(3), 352, 3(5), 61(2) of B.N.S. and Section 3/25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Khatima, District Udham Singh Nagar. 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 6. As per the prosecution case, two persons alighted from a black Scorpio

			vehicle, the registration number of which had been concealed by smearing mud on the number plate. One of them allegedly fired a shot into the air and thereafter threatened the complainant with dire consequences. Upon hearing the gunshot, when other villagers approached the place of occurrence, the said persons fled from the spot in the vehicle. The F.I.R. was lodged against unknown persons, and during the course of investigation, the name of the applicant surfaced as one of the co-accused. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been in judicial custody since 09.07.2026. It is contended that neither any empty cartridge was recovered from the place of occurrence nor was the alleged firearm recovered. Learned counsel further submits that no specific role has been attributed to the applicant in the alleged incident and that his implication in the present case is not supported by any specific overt act attributed to him. He would further submit that the co-accused persons have been granted bail by this Court. 8. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. He submits that approximately

			10–15 persons, including the applicant, had assembled at a hotel situated about eight kilometres from the place of occurrence and, pursuant to their common intention, some of the accused proceeded to the village and threatened the complainant. Learned State Counsel further submits that the investigation is still in progress and that the applicant has a criminal history. He does not dispute that the co-accused persons with similar role have been granted bail by this Court. 9. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the F.I.R. was lodged against unknown persons and the name of the applicant surfaced during the course of investigation. The submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant regarding the absence of recovery of the alleged firearm and any empty cartridge from the place of occurrence, as well as the absence of any specific role attributed to him, are matters to be considered at this stage. The prosecution has also referred to the alleged assembly of several persons at a hotel and the applicant's criminal history. 10. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the

			nature of the allegations, the material presently available on record, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 11. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 12. Let the applicant Tarun Singh Lakha Alias Lakkha be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 28.10.2026 Mamta