SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1596/2026 Aditya --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant- Aditya, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 171 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Kotwali Manglour, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 105, 281 3(5), 309(6), 324(2) of the B.N.S., has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that, as per the prosecution case, the informant lodged an F.I.R. alleging that three persons had hired a taxi from Roorkee to Sonipat AND When the vehicle reached Manglour Mandi, one of the passengers, who was

			allegedly feeling giddy, requested the informant to stop the vehicle on the pretext of vomiting. It is further alleged that, when the informant was about to re-enter the vehicle, the said passenger, who was allegedly under the influence of liquor, pushed and elbowed him and closed the door of the vehicle, whereafter all three persons fled away with the vehicle and subsequently, the said vehicle collided with a scooter, resulting in the death of the scooter rider on the spot due to the injuries sustained in the accident; that, both the scooter and the taxi were also damaged in the said accident. 6. Learned counsel for the applicant would further submit that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case; that, he was not present at the alleged place of occurrence at the relevant time; that, there is no independent witness or legally admissible evidence on record prima facie establishing his presence or specific role in the alleged incident; and that the prosecution case does not establish that the applicant was driving the vehicle at the relevant time. 7. He would further submit that the identity of the person driving the vehicle has not been conclusively established and, therefore, the applicant cannot be attributed the alleged accident and the resultant death merely on the basis of the prosecution allegations, particularly

			when his presence at the place of occurrence and his specific role in the incident are disputed. 8. Learned counsel for the applicant would further submit that the applicant has been implicated in the present case solely on the basis of the alleged confessional statement of co-accused Neeraj, and there is no other material establishing that the applicant was driving the vehicle at the relevant time. He would further submit that, even as per the statements of the prosecution witnesses, it was co-accused Neeraj who was driving the alleged vehicle. On these grounds, learned counsel would contend that the applicant's involvement in the alleged offence is a matter to be examined during trial and that his continued incarceration is not warranted. 9. Learned counsel for the applicant would further submit that the applicant has no criminal antecedents; that he is a young boy aged about 21 years and is pursuing his B.Sc. course; that he is not likely to flee from justice or tamper with the prosecution evidence as the charge-sheet has already been filed; and that the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion; that, prolonged incarceration at this stage would adversely affect the future of the applicant, who is a young student. On these grounds, learned counsel for the applicant prays that the applicant may

			be enlarged on bail. 10. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for grant of bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is in judicial custody since 29.12.2025. 11. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant is a young person aged about 21 years and is pursuing his B.Sc. course. It further appears that the applicant has no criminal antecedents and that the charge-sheet has already been filed. At this stage, the material available on record does not prima facie establish that the applicant was driving the alleged vehicle at the relevant time. The question regarding the identity of the person driving the vehicle and the specific role, if any, attributable to the applicant would be matters for consideration during trial. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the material available on record, the absence of criminal antecedents and the period of incarceration, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 12. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the 1st bail application is allowed.

13. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, threaten, induce or influence any person acquainted with the facts of the case.

(ii) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any witness.

(iii) the applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and trial and regularly appear before the Trial Court, unless exempted.

(v) the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

(vi) They shall furnish their current residential addresses and mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer/Court concerned and promptly intimate any change therein.

14. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to the applicant.

MAMTA RANI

(Alok Mahra, J.)

26.11.2026

Mamta

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.26 17:02:32 +05'30'