SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010164132026 **C528/2011/2026** Satyendra Kumar --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Manju Bahuguna, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Ms. Minakshi Sharma, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. D.N. Sharma, Advocate for respondents no.2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Sessions Trial No. 206 of 2023, under Sections 307 of IPC and Section 3/25 of Arms Act, pending in the court of learned 3rd Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in this case, applicant was not named in the FIR and his name figured out during the investigation and applicant was also chargesheeted. It is further submitted that no role has been assigned to the applicant and the Co-ordinate Bench has already quashed the FIR against the other co-accused, who were named in the FIR and were assigned the role of firing

			using the firearm; that it is a case of no-injury. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 8. Parties are present before this Court and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled his dispute with the applicant and does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. 13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be

			compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Sessions Trial No. 206 of 2023, under Sections 307 of IPC and Section 3/25 of Arms Act, pending in the court of learned 3rd Additional District and Sessions Judge, Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 28.10.2026 Ujjwal