SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/1973/2026 Aman Taneja --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Kishore Rai, Advocate for the applicant. Mrs. Meenakshi Sharma, A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Mani Kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Mr. Subhash Joshi, Advocate for respondent nos.2 & 3. 2. Present C-528 application is filed with the prayer to set-aside/quash the charge sheet dated 14.05.2024, cognizance/summoning order dated 22.06.2024 and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.2185 of 2024, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, First, Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar on the basis of compromise between the parties. 3. A compounding application (I.A. No.1 of 2026) has been filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offence between the parties. 4. Applicant as well as respondent no.2 & 3 are present in Court today, who have duly identified by their learned counsel. It is submitted that respondent nos.2 & 3 have already filed affidavit in support of the compounding application, affirming the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties. 5. It is jointly submitted by the parties that the disputes inter se them have been amicably settled outside the Court. Respondent nos.2 & 3 had categorically stated that, in view of the amicable settlement arrived at between the

parties, they do not wish to pursue or prosecute the present proceedings against the applicant any further.

6. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the present matter arises out of a case involving cross-F.I.R.s. It is, therefore, contended that, in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties, no useful purpose would be served by permitting the present proceedings to continue.

7. Learned State Counsel vehemently opposed the compounding application.

8. Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused.

9. Following the aforesaid ratio, present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, entire proceedings of Criminal Case No.2185 of 2024, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, First, Rudrapur, District Udham Singh Nagar, are hereby quashed.

10. C-528 application stands disposed of.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
16.11.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eabb68a3895e41937c266c23964c0485365445e3a20dddb739339889fe458ba3e, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=D60FC17022BEAE3DE215D68D9D454C5109C8987446551E4DF04AADAA2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.16 17:22:56 +05'30'