SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1509/2026 Raheesh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Panwar proxy counsel for Ms. Prabha Naithani, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pratiroop Pande, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicant-Rahees, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 124 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Pulbhatta, District Udham Singh Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5 read with Section 11(1) of the Uttarakhand Cow Progeny Act, 2007 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case; that no offence, as alleged, has been committed by him; that the alleged recovery of meat has been shown to have been made from the

			house of the applicant, which is situated in a populated area, yet no independent public witness has been associated with the alleged recovery proceedings, thereby raising a question regarding the credibility of the recovery; that, till date, no FSL report has been brought on record to establish that the alleged recovered meat is beef. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 26.07.2026; that he is a permanent resident of District Udham Singh Nagar and, therefore, there is no likelihood of his absconding or fleeing from the process of law; that, there is also no reasonable apprehension of his tampering with the prosecution evidence; and that the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant may be enlarged on bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 26.07.2026. 8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a

case for grant of bail.

9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

10. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, threaten, induce or influence any person acquainted with the facts of the case.
(ii) the applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence any witness.
(iii) the applicant shall cooperate with the investigation and trial and regularly appear before the Trial Court, unless exempted.
(v) the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.
(vi) They shall furnish their current residential addresses and mobile numbers to the Investigating Officer/Court concerned and promptly intimate any change therein.

11. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to the applicant.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
06.11.2026

Mamta