| SL. No. | Date | Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures | COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | **BA1/1478/2026**<br>Sameer<br>--Applicant<br>**Versus**<br>State Of Uttarakhand<br>--Respondent<br>**Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.**<br>Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant.<br>Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.<br>2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 159 of 2026, registered at Police Station Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 3, 5 and 11 of the Uttarakhand Protection of Cow Progeny Act, 2007.<br>3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.<br>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of information allegedly provided by a police informer and the statement allegedly made by co-accused Gulshana while in police custody, which, it is contended, is not admissible in evidence against the applicant. It is further submitted that, apart from the aforesaid, there is no evidence on record connecting the applicant with the alleged offence. Learned counsel contends that the applicant has not committed the alleged offence, that no public witness was present at the time of the alleged recovery, and that the place where the alleged act of cow slaughter was being carried out does not belong to the |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | applicant. It is also submitted that the applicant was not arrested from the spot.<br>5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail application.<br>6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.<br>7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.<br>8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.<br>9. It is made clear that any observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.<br>10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.<br><br>**(Alok Mahra, J.)**<br>28-10-2026<br>SB: |