SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010149732026 **C528/1876/2026** Saurabh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Chitrartha Kandpal, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Virendra Dhiman, Advocate for respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 992 of 2019, under Sections 452/354 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Vikasnagar, District Dehradun, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, an FIR was lodged by the complainant, in which, it was alleged that when complainant went to his duty, applicant entered into his house and upon seeing the daughter of the complainant alone in the room, applicant went into the room and locked the door from inside. When nearby villagers saw him, then, they raised alarm, by which, applicant and her daughter came out from the room. Thereafter, FIR was lodged and subsequently chargesheet was filed against the applicant under Section

			452/354 of IPC. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court through video conferencing and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now respondent no. 2 has amicably settled his dispute with the applicant and he does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. It is further submitted that now victim has been married. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. 13. Following the aforesaid ratio, the present compounding application is allowed. The

			offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 992 of 2019, under Sections 452/354 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Vikasnagar, District Dehradun, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 25.11.2026 Ujjwal