Sl. No.	Date	Office Note, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS

2026:UHC:9696

BA1/1764/2025

Ankush Alias Ranjha Alias Raja

--Applicant

Versus

State Of Uttarakhand

--Respondent

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.

Mr. Alok Kumar, learned Counsel for applicant.

2. Mr. Vijay Khanduri, learned B.H. for the State.

3. Applicant is facing incarceration pursuant to FIR/ Crime No.202 of 2025 dated 01.03.2025 for the offences u/s 103(1), 109(1), 190, 191(3), 352, 61(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 25 (1-B)(A) of Arms Act registered at P.S. Kotwali Mangalore, District Haridwar.

4. As per FIR, on 28.02.2025 at around 6:00 PM, Ikram and Tazim, both S/o Zahid were standing in the confectionary shop of one Mukarram S/o Bhura in Village Mundalana. At that time, some altercation ensued upon which Nipun @ Chhota along with 3-4 persons opened fire upon Tazim. When Ikram intervened to save Tazim, these people also shot fire upon Ikram due to which he (Ikram) died on the spot while Tanzim sustained serious injuries. On seeing the crowd assembled at the spot, accused persons fled away. The incident was witnessed by Shahid S/o Shahen Aalam and other neighbours.

5. The applicant contends that in the FIR, no role has been assigned to him of opening the fire while the injuries sustained by deceased and injured are gunshot ones.

6. Learned State Counsel, on the strength of the counter affidavit filed by the Investigating Officer, submitted that

			although it is true that no role has been assigned to the applicant of opening fire, however, a country-made pistol of 315 bore along with 4 live cartridges was recovered from the applicant. He further submits that the applicant has got criminal history of same nature of cases inasmuch four cases of same nature being (1) Crime No.68/2024 offence u/s 307/120-B IPC and Section 25(1-B) IPC (2) Crime No.411 of 2024 u/s 307, 394, 504 IPC and (3) Crime No.554 of 2024 u/s 307 and 336 IPC (4) Crime No.206 of 2025 u/s 109(1) BNS and Section 25(1-B)(a) of Arms Act, are registered against the applicant. He further submits that the applicant was arraigned as accused with the aid of Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and the applicant has got common intention to commit the crime. 7. Having considered the rival submissions of parties and upon perusal of documents brought on record particularly the criminal history of the applicant regarding the selfsame offences, this Court is of the opinion that the applicant does not deserve bail at this stage. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is rejected. **(Pankaj Purohit, J.)** 27.10.2026 *R.Dang*

RAJEEV DANG