SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1435/2026 Shamshad Alias Muchchhu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Joshi, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 301 of 2026, registered at Police Station Kotwali Manglaur, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 125, 190, 191(2), 191(3), 324(2), 333, 351(2) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, as well as Section 25(1-B)(a) of the Arms Act. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is group rivalry between the parties. The incident allegedly took place on 07.06.2026, wherein two persons sustained injuries. It is further submitted that the injuries sustained by the injured persons were caused by hard and blunt objects. However, the role assigned to the present applicant is that he, along with co-accused Danish, allegedly opened fire. Learned counsel submits that no firearm injury was found on the person of the complainant or the other injured person. It is further submitted that another co-accused, who has also been assigned the role of using a firearm, has already been granted bail by the learned Trial Court. The applicant is in judicial custody since 10.06.2026 and

has no criminal antecedents.

5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of bail.

6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the incident appears to have arisen out of group rivalry between the parties. The injuries sustained by the injured persons are stated to have been caused by hard and blunt objects, and no firearm injury has been reported. It is also relevant to note that a co-accused, to whom a similar role of using a firearm has been assigned, has already been granted bail by the learned Trial Court. Further, the applicant is in judicial custody since 10.06.2026 and has no criminal antecedents. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application stands allowed.

8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

9. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
03-11-2026

SB