SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1425/2026 Ramu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. S.R.S. Gill, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Akshay Latwal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Aditya Singh, learned counsel for the complainant. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 684 of 2026, registered at Police Station Kotwali Laksar, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 324(4), 351(3) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS). 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not been named in the impugned FIR. It is further submitted that no weapon has been recovered from the possession of the applicant to connect him with the alleged offence. Learned counsel further submits that the co-accused, namely, Harvind alias Harvinder Kumar, who is stated to have a similar role, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2026. Therefore, the applicant is also entitled to bail on the ground of

			parity. The applicant is in judicial custody since 21.07.2026. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel fairly concedes that the case of the applicant is covered by the principle of parity. 6. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail application. 7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the co-accused, namely, Harvind alias Harvinder Kumar, who is stated to have a similar role in the alleged incident, has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2026. Considering the aforesaid circumstance, the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and the material available on record, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 10. It is made clear that any observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 11. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 27-10-2026 SHIKSHA SB