SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA2/130/2026 Gautam Singh Danu --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Chandramauli Shah and Mr. Amit Kapri, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This is the second bail application. The first bail application was dismissed on 29.05.2026. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 34 of 2026, registered at Police Station Pithoragarh, District Pithoragarh, for the offences punishable under Sections 8/20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as the "NDPS Act"). 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the material available on record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Although the alleged contraband shown to have been recovered from the applicant falls within the category of commercial quantity, the mandatory provisions of the NDPS Act have not been complied with. It is further contended that, as evident from the recovery memo and inventory, the said documents were prepared whereas the FIR was lodged almost four hours after the alleged incident. It is also pointed

			out that the FIR number finds mention in the arrest memo as well as the inventory, which creates serious doubt regarding the prosecution's version of the alleged recovery. Learned counsel further submits that the other co-accused, who was arrested along with the applicant, has already been enlarged on bail. It is also submitted that the applicant is a student of Class XI and has no criminal antecedents. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for bail. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail at this stage. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 7. The bail application is, accordingly, allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the following conditions: (i) The applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the trial court and shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment. (ii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. (iii) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the trial court.

			9. It is clarified that, in the event of misuse of the liberty of bail or violation of any of the conditions imposed hereinabove, the complainant/informant shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent court seeking cancellation of the applicant's bail. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 04-11-2026 SB