SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010142052026 **BA1/1397/2026** Kamal Singh Rawat --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Pawan Mishra, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Chitrartha Kandpal, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Abhishek Dutt, Advocate for the complainant. 4. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 228 of 2026, under Sections 69, 115(2) and 352 of BNS, registered at P.S.-Raipur, District Dehradun, has sought his release on bail. 5. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case. It is further submitted that complainant had lodged an FIR in which it is averred that the complainnt and the applicant were in love relationship with each other from the month of February, 2024 till the month of March, 2026 and during this period, physical relations were also established between them on the assurance that the applicant would marry the complainant, but, after March, 2026, attitude of the applicant totally changed towards the

			complainant and twice the complainant was forced to abort the foetus; that even if the averments made in the FIR are taken to be true, then also, it is an admitted case that relationship between applicant and the complainant were consensual and the complainant herself admitted that family of the applicant was not ready for the marriage of the applicant with the complainant way back in the year 2024 itself, but, despite this fact, relationship between the applicant and the complainant continued for more than a year. On this basis, it is submitted that it is a case of love relationship getting sour and furthermore, complainant is elder in age than the applicant and both of them were mature enough to understand the consequences of their action and were possessed of sufficient understanding, maturity, and discernment to comprehend the nature and consequences of their actions. 6. To this, learned counsel for the complainant submits that physical relationship were established by the complainant on the assurance of the applicant that one day marriage would be solemnized between them, but, after March, 2026, attitude of the applicant totally changed towards the complainant. 7. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 9. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that it is a case of love relationship getting sour, also considering the age of the applicant and the

victim and the nature of their relationship, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

10. The bail application is allowed.

11. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

> "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
>
> ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.
>
> iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

12. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
18.11.2026

Ujjwal