SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1376/2026 Devendra Kumar --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 69 of 2026, registered at Police Station Shyampur, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 121(1), 132, 179, 180, 181, 324(4), 352 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS). 3. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. 4. As per the prosecution story, the first informant lodged an FIR on 27.06.2026, alleging therein that on 26.06.2026, at about 6:30 p.m., while he, along with his colleague, was patrolling the canal-side area of Compartment No. 7 of Shyampur Beat in the Reserved Forest Area, a car bearing Registration No. DL-5CN-2968 entered the reserved forest area. Upon being questioned as to why they were driving inside the forest area, the persons sitting in the car allegedly got out and assaulted the forest personnel. During the incident, the uniform of one of the forest personnel was torn, and one of the occupants allegedly took out an iron rod

			from the vehicle and assaulted the forest personnel, resulting in injuries to one of them. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not named in the FIR. It is further submitted that Section 109(1) of the BNS has been dropped during the course of investigation, as the injuries sustained by the injured person were found to be simple in nature. Learned counsel further submits that two co-accused persons have already been granted bail by the trial court. It is also contended that no independent public witness was associated with the seizure proceedings relating to the alleged recovery of counterfeit currency and other articles, despite the availability of independent witnesses. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail application. 7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that Section 109(1) of the BNS has been dropped during the course of investigation and that the remaining offences are punishable with imprisonment for a term of less than seven years. This Court also takes into consideration that the applicant is not named in the FIR and that no specific role of assault has been attributed to the applicant. Considering the aforesaid circumstances, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

			10. It is made clear that any observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 11. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 28-10-2026 SNSHA SNSHA