SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010136372026 **BA1/1352/2026** Hast Bahadur Shahi --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Prashant Khanna, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Vipul Painuly, A.G.A. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 19 of 2026, under Sections 8/20 of NDPS Act, registered at P.S.- Sonprayag, District Rudraprayag, has sought his release on bail. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that sample was sent to FSL only from one packet and not from other eight packets; that applicant was alleged to be arrested at 16:05 hours and inventory was prepared at 21:03 hours while the FIR was lodged on 21:15 hours, but, the inventory report and the arrest memo prepared prior to the registration of the FIR contains the FIR number, which creates serious doubt regarding the veracity of the prosecution case and vitiates the entire recovery proceedings. 5. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and

perused the records.

7. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that the inventory report and the arrest memo prepared prior to the registration of the FIR contains the FIR number and as such applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 21.05.2026, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail.

8. The bail application is allowed.

9. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:-

> "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment.
>
> ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case.
>
> iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

10. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
26.11.2026

Ujjwal