SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010135642026 **BA1/1347/2026** Jogendra Alias Jogga and Another --Applicants **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Pranav Singh, Advocate for the applicants. 2. Mr. Jai Prakash Kandpal, Brief Holder for the State. 3. The applicants – Jogendra @ Jogga and Sanjay, who are in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 0033 of 2026, under Sections 109, 305, 317(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 25 (1B)(A) r/w Section 3 of the Arms Act, registered at P.S.-Buggawala, District Haridwar, have sought their release on bail. 4. Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants have falsely been implicated in the case inasmuch as applicant no.1 has been made an accused only on the basis of the confessional statement of one Lukman, who was injured in an incident which happened after ten days of lodging of this FIR in a different place, whereby, Lukman had stated that in that incident also, they have tried to steal copper wire from the transformer of the closed factory, in which, the present applicants were also partner; that

			even if the statements of Lukman are taken to be true, then also, Lukman and Gulzar have fired shot at the guard and one guard was injured and that no role of firing gunshot has been assigned to the applicants and furthermore, there is no recovery of firearm from the applicants and that applicants are in judicial custody since 20.06.2026. 5. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 7. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that applicants have been made an accused only on the basis of the confessional statement of one Lukman and no role of firing gunshot has been assigned to the applicants and furthermore, there is no recovery of firearm from the applicants and that applicants are in judicial custody since 20.06.2026, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicants deserve to be enlarged on bail. 8. The bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicants be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, by each one of them, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:- "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment. ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of this case. iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court." 10. It is clarified that if the applicants misuse

			or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon them, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 25.11.2026 Ujjwal