SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010133952026 **BA1/1324/2026** Mohd. Aalam --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **With** **BA1/1362/2026** **BA1/1527/2026** **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. M.S. Pal, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. Nikhat Bi, learned counsel in BA1 No.1324 of 2026, Mr. Gaurav Singh (through Video Conferencing), learned counsel, Mr. Karan Singh Dugta, learned counsel for the applicants. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal and Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. and for the State. 3. All these three bail applications have been arising out of the similar Case Crime/F.I.R., therefore, all these are heard and decided together with common judgment and order. 4. Applicants- Mohd. Aalm@Pammi, Yusuf, Juppi alias Yusuf, Ashik and Aamir Hamza alias Mian, who are in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Range Case No. 05 of 2026-27 under

			Sections 2, 9, 39, 49, 50 & 51 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 registered at Police Station Forest Range, Shyampur, District Haridwar have sought his release on bail. 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 6. Learned senior counsel for the applicants would submit that, as per the prosecution case, when the officials of the Forest Department were on patrolling duty in Shyampur Range, they allegedly found the applicants near the place of occurrence and apprehended them on the allegation that they were attempting to conceal the carcass of a tiger cub. It is further alleged that the carcass of a male tiger cub, whose paws were found severed, was recovered from the spot, whereas another carcass of a female tiger cub was allegedly recovered from a trench situated at a distance of approximately 100 metres from the aforesaid place of occurrence. 7. Learned senior counsel for the applicants would submit that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present matter; that, they have been in judicial custody for a considerable period; that, they are permanent residents of District Haridwar and, therefore, there is no likelihood of their absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence; that, the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future; and that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2026. On these grounds, learned senior counsel prays that the

applicants be enlarged on bail.

8. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicants have remained in judicial custody for a considerable period.

9. Having considered the nature and gravity of the allegations, the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, the contradictions appearing in the statements of the complainant, the period of incarceration already undergone by the applicants, the fact that the applicants are permanent residents of District Haridwar, and the fact that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future, this Court is of the view that the applicants have made out a case for grant of bail.

10. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both the bail applications are allowed. The applicants shall be released on bail on each of them executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

Mamta

MA
MTA
RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd6426713 29b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.03 11:28:45 +05'30'

(Alok Mahra, J.)
31.10.2026