SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1313/2026 Satish --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Alok Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Pramod Tiwari, learned A.G.A. for the State. 3. Applicants-Satish, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 70 of 2026 registered at Police Station-SIDCUL, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2)(m), 137(2) of B.N.S. and 5(1)/6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that initially a missing person report was lodged by the father of the victim and, thereafter, on 20.02.2026, the present F.I.R. was

			registered; that, during the course of investigation, the victim was recovered from the company of the present applicant on 27.02.2026. He would further submit that, in her statement recorded during investigation, the victim stated that she and the applicant had been in a love relationship for the preceding five to six months and since the applicant was working in Delhi, the victim called him to Haridwar, whereafter they visited several places and subsequently, upon knowing that the police were searching for them, they decided to return home. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the victim was examined before the learned trial court as PW-1, wherein she supported the prosecution case and stated that although she and the applicant had been in a relationship for the preceding five to six months, the applicant had forcibly committed sexual assault upon her. 8. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case; that, in her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS, as well as during her medical examination, the victim did not support the prosecution version; however, in her testimony before the learned trial court, she took a different stand and

			supported the prosecution case. He would also point out that, at the time of the alleged incident, the victim was approximately 16 years of age, whereas the applicant was 28 years old; that, that the examination and cross-examination of the victim are yet to be completed. 9. Learned counsel would also submit that the applicant is languishing in jail since 27.02.2026 and that, the trial is likely to take considerable time to reach its logical conclusion, thus, on the aforesaid grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicant may be enlarged on bail.' 10. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 27.02.2026. He also does not dispute that, in her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS and during her medical examination, the victim did not support the prosecution version, whereas, in her testimony before the learned trial court, she has supported the prosecution case. 11. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the victim,

			in her statement recorded during investigation, as well as during her medical examination, did not support the prosecution version, whereas, in her testimony before the learned trial court, she has made allegations of forcible sexual assault against the applicant. The aforesaid variation in the versions of the victim is a matter which would require consideration during the course of trial. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. 12. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 13. Let the applicant be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 03.11.2026 Mamta