SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/1456/2025 Krishana Chand Ramola And Anr --Applicants **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Akshay Pradhan, learned counsel for the applicants. Mr. Himanshu Sen, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present C-528 Application has been filed seeking a direction to the learned Additional Principal Judge, Rishikesh, District Dehradun, to recalculate the amount of maintenance payable by the applicant to respondent no. 2. The applicant has also sought protection against coercive action and a direction to the concerned court to take his submissions on record in Criminal Case No. 172 of 2022, under Sections 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, pending before the learned Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division)/Judicial Magistrate, Rishikesh, District Dehradun. 3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that, in proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the applicant no. 1 was directed to pay maintenance of Rs. 16,000/- per month for his daughters, whereas, in proceedings under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, he was directed to pay maintenance of Rs. 10,000/- per month to his wife. 4. It is further contended that, during the pendency of the aforesaid proceedings, the services of applicant no. 1 was terminated

			and, consequently, he is presently left with no source of income. In view of the aforesaid changed circumstances, the applicant no. 1 moved application before the learned Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division)/Judicial Magistrate, Rishikesh, District Dehradun, seeking modification of the maintenance orders passed in the proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. It is further submitted that, since the applicant has fallen into arrears of maintenance in both the proceedings, a recovery warrant has been issued against the applicant no. 1 in the proceedings under the Domestic Violence Act, 2005. Learned counsel submits that the applicant no. 1 has been regularly paying the monthly maintenance awarded under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and, therefore, seeks that the recovery warrant issued against the applicant no. 1 be kept in abeyance for a period of fourteen days, so as to enable him to appear before the concerned court and pursue his application for modification of the maintenance amount. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant, upon instructions, submits that the applicants are pressing the present application only to the limited extent of seeking that the recovery warrant issued against applicant no. 1 be kept in abeyance for a period of fourteen days, to enable him to approach the concerned court and place his submissions before it. Learned counsel further submits that the applicants are not pressing the remaining prayers made in the present application. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the applicants and in view of the limited prayer being pressed, this Court is inclined to grant fourteen days' time to the applicant to approach the concerned court and seek appropriate relief in accordance with law.

			7. Accordingly, the present C-528 Application stands disposed of with the direction that the recovery warrant issued against the applicant no. 1 in the proceedings under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, shall remain in abeyance for a period of fourteen days from today, to enable the applicant to appear before the concerned court and seek appropriate relief in accordance with law. 8. It is clarified that, in the event the applicant no. 1 fail to approach the concerned court within the aforesaid period, the interim protection granted herein shall automatically cease to operate. 9. It is further clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the applicant no. 1' claim for modification or recalculation of maintenance, and the concerned court shall consider the same independently, in accordance with law. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 03-11-2026 SB SUNERA BINJOLA