SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1253/2026 Siddhartha --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Mohd. Safdar and Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 297 of 2026, registered at Police Station Manglaur, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 309(6), 317(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and is not named in the First Information Report. It is further contended that there is a delay of one day in lodging the FIR, for which no explanation has been furnished. It is also submitted that the alleged recovery of the motorcycle has been falsely shown to have been made at the pointing out of the applicant and co-accused Ashish. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused Ashish has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 05.10.2026 and that the case of the present applicant stands on the same footing. Accordingly, it is contended that

			the applicant is also entitled to the benefit of parity. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for bail; however, the fact that the co-accused has already been granted bail is not disputed. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, particularly the fact that the co-accused Ashish, whose case stands on a similar footing, has already been granted bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant is also entitled to the benefit of parity. Accordingly, this Court finds that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 9. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 29-10-2026 SB