SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSB/330/2025 Dr. Manish Sharma --Petitioner **Versus** State of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents with **WPSB/341/2025** Dr. Kavita Dhiman --Petitioner **Versus** State of Uttarakhand and Another --Respondents with **WPSB/343/2025** Dr. Durga Singh Molpha --Petitioner **Versus** State of Uttarakhand and others --Respondents **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** Mr. Yogesh Kumar Pacholia, learned counsel for the petitioner in WPSB No.330 of 2025; Mr. Vinay Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner in WPSB No.341 of 2025 and Mr. I.D. Paliwal, learned counsel for the petitioner in WPSB No.343 of 2025. 2. Mr. B.S. Parihar, learned Additional C.S.C. with Mr. Gajendra Tripathi, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. Since common question of facts and law are involved in all these writ petitions, hence, these are being taken together and decided by this common order. For the sake of brevity, facts of WPSB No.330 of 2025 are being taken for consideration. 4. Petitioner is serving as Veterinary Medical Officer. He was transferred from one place to another vide order dated 01.08.2025. Thus, feeling aggrieved, petitioner filed this writ petition challenging the transfer order. 5. It is contended that petitioner was earlier transferred from one place to another vide order dated

			10.06.2025, therefore, he could not have been transferred again within less than two months. 6. Learned State Counsel, however, submits that the impugned transfer order was issued due to intervention of this Court as Coordinate Bench directed the Secretary, Animal Husbandry to issue revised transfer order. 7. Reportedly, an interim order was passed in this writ petition, pursuant to which, petitioner is serving at the place where he was posted before passing of the impugned order; transfer is an incident of service and petitioner holds a transferable post, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this writ petition pending for indefinite period. 8. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to petitioner to make representation regarding his transfer. 9. If petitioner makes such representation within three weeks, decision thereupon shall be taken as per law, within four months thereafter. 10. The Competent Authority shall be at liberty to pass appropriate order *qua* petitioner, however, petitioner shall be transferred only in the next transfer session. **(Subhash Upadhyay, J.)** **(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.)** 05.11.2026 05.11.2026 SS/PP