SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/921/2026 Manoj Khatri --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **BA1/1056/2026** Sanjay Prasad --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent With **BA1/1218/2026** Priyanshu Nath --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Chandramauli Shah, learned counsel for the applicant in BA1 No. 921 of 2026, Mr. Akshay Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant in BA1 No. 1056 of 2026 and Mr. Suhail A. Siddiqui, learned counsel for the applicant in BA1 No. 1218 of 2026 Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail applications have been moved by the applicants, namely, Sanjay Prasad and Priyanshu Nath, who are in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 111 of 2025, registered at Police Station Kotwali Pithoragarh, for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 351(2), 61(2), 336(3), 338 and 340 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,

			2023 (BNS). The applicant, namely, Manoj Khatri, is also in judicial custody in connection with the same FIR, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 61(2), 336(3), 338 and 340 of the BNS, 2023. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned State Counsel and perused the material available on record. 4. As per the allegations contained in the FIR, the complainant was induced by the present applicants and other co-accused person to purchase a piece of land, pursuant to which he deposited a sum of ₹12,60,000/- in the bank accounts of the applicants. The case of the applicants is that they had no role in the transaction relating to the said land and that the entire transaction was handled by one Kishan Kohli. It is further contended that the applicants had merely received certain commission amounts in their bank accounts and had no other role in the alleged transaction. 5. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the amounts received in their bank accounts were either transferred to the bank account of Kishan Kohli or, after withdrawal, handed over to him in cash. It is further submitted that all the prosecution witnesses have already been examined and the statement of the accused persons under Section 313 Cr.P.C. has also been recorded. Therefore, there is no likelihood of the applicants tampering with the prosecution evidence. It is also submitted that all the applicants have been in judicial custody since May, 2026. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of bail. 7. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the

			parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that the applicants have made out a case for grant of bail. 8. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the ultimate outcome of the trial, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicants on bail. 9. The bail applications are, accordingly, allowed. 10. Let the applicants, namely, Manoj Khatri, Sanjay Prasad and Priyanshu Nath be released on bail upon executing personal bonds and furnishing two reliable sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 04-11-2026 SB