SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1215/2026 Nitish Kumar --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. D.C.S. Rawat, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr. Navneen Singh Bisht and Mr. Navneet Kumar, Advocates for the applicant. Mr. Deepak Bisht, Deputy Advocate General for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. This first bail application has been moved by the applicant seeking regular bail in F.I.R./Case Crime No.58 of 2026, under Section 111(3), 111(4), 318(2) and 61 of B.N.S., Section 66 of I.T. Act, Section 3(5), 3(10), 4, 8(1), 10(1) and 11(1) of Uttarakhand Competitive Examination (Measures For Control and Prevention of Unfair Means in Recruitment) Act, 2023, registered at Police Station Kotwali Dehradun, District Dehradun. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been languishing in judicial custody since 02.06.2026. It is contended that the applicant was merely engaged as an Electrician by the Contractor, who had been awarded the contract for setting up a Computer Lab at the Examination Centre, and that the applicant was working under

			the directions and supervision of the said Contractor. It is further submitted that the role attributed to the applicant is limited to operating certain laptops which had allegedly been placed in a concealed chamber during the conduct of the examinations. Learned counsel further submits that the main accused, namely, the Contractor, who was entrusted with the work of establishing the Computer Lab, as well as the owner of the Lab and other co-accused persons, have already been enlarged on bail. Therefore, the applicant, who was working merely as an Electrician under the directions of the Contractor, is also entitled to the benefit of bail on the ground of parity. 4. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail. 5. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 02.06.2026. The role attributed to the applicant, as emerges from the material placed on record, is that of an Electrician engaged by the Contractor for setting up the Computer Lab at the Examination Centre. The applicant is stated to have been working under the directions of the Contractor. It is also borne out from the record that the principal accused, namely, the Contractor, as well as the owner of the Lab and other co-accused persons, have already been granted bail. In the considered view of this Court, the role attributed to the applicant, his position in the alleged arrangement and the fact that the principal accused persons have already been enlarged on bail are relevant considerations while

examining the prayer for bail. Further, the applicant's continued incarceration, particularly when the trial is likely to take time, would not serve any useful purpose.

6. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

8. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
04.11.2026

Arpan

ARPAN JAISWAL

Digitally signed by ARPAN JAISWAL
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=eabb68a3895e41937c266c23964c04853654 45e3a20ddddb7393398ff9e420a3a, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=060FC1702BEAE3DE215D68D9D454C 5109C898744635164DF04AADAA2C2CEA66, cn=ARPAN JAISWAL
Date: 2026.11.04 20:20:17 +05'30'