SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1205/2026 Naresh Dhiman --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **BA1/1273/2026** **BA1/1211/2026** **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Divya Jain, learned counsel in BA1 No.1205 of 2026, Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel (through Video Conferencing) and Mr. Mohd. Safdar, learned counsel in BA1 No.1273 of 2026 for the applicants. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal and Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. and for the State. 3. All these three bail applications have been arising out of the similar Case Crime/F.I.R., therefore, all these are heard and decided together with common judgment and order. 4. Applicants- Naresh Dhiman, Lokesh Gulati and Mohtram Ali, who are in judicial custody in connection with FIR/ Case Crime No. 59 of 2025, under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2), 111 of the B.N.S. and Sections 63, 65 of the Copy Right Act and Section 66(c), 66(d) of the I.T. Act registered at Police Station Selaqui, District Dehradun have

			sought his release on bail. 5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 6. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that the applicants have not been named in the F.I.R. and their names surfaced during the course of investigation. As per the allegations levelled in the charge-sheet, the applicants were suppliers of the medicines which were allegedly found to be non-genuine and were being dealt with without a valid licence. Learned counsel would further submit that the main accused, namely, Naveen Bansal, who is alleged to be the kingpin of the organised racket, has already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. 7. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present matter; that they have neither been involved in the manufacturing, purchasing or selling of the alleged counterfeit medicines, forged articles or QR codes, nor have they any connection with the persons named in the F.I.R.; that the applicants have been in judicial custody since 18.02.2026, 28.05.2026 and 17.02.2026, respectively; and that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future. On these grounds, learned counsel prays that the applicants be enlarged on bail. 8. Per contra, learned State counsel

would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail on the ground of the seriousness of the allegations levelled against the applicants. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicants have not been named in the F.I.R.

9. Having considered the nature and gravity of the allegations, the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, the contradictions, if any, appearing in the statements of the complainant, the fact that the applicants were not named in the F.I.R., the period of incarceration already undergone by them, the fact that the main accused, namely, Naveen Bansal, has been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered view that the applicants have made out a case for grant of bail.

10. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, both the bail applications are allowed. The applicants shall be released on bail on each of them executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned.

Mamta

MAMTA RANI

Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI
Date: 2026.11.03 11:27:32 +05'30'

(Alok Mahra, J.)
31.10.2026