SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1177/2026 Gaurav Singh Rana --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Mukesh Kumar Kaparuwan and Ms. Sangeeta Bhardwaj, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Deepak Bisht, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR No. 0021 of 2026, registered at Police Station Ghansali, District Tehri Garhwal, for the offence punishable under Section 80(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the material available on record. 4. As per the prosecution case, the FIR was lodged by the father of the deceased, alleging that his daughter had committed suicide on account of harassment and victimization at the hands of the applicant and his family members. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he neither demanded any dowry nor harassed the deceased on that account. It is further submitted that, even as per the prosecution case and the statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, no specific role

			of abetment has been attributed to the applicant or his family members. The applicant is in judicial custody since 29.04.2026. It is also submitted that the applicant was employed in Dubai and that there is no direct evidence connecting him with the alleged offence. The material contained in the FIR does not disclose any specific overt act attributable to the applicant, and the allegations are stated to be based on hearsay and conjectures. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for bail. 7. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the allegations against the applicant, the nature of the accusation and the material collected during investigation, particularly the statements recorded under Sections 180 and 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, are relevant considerations at this stage. The specific role attributed to the applicant and the absence of any specific overt act indicating his involvement in the alleged abetment are also matters to be considered. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the allegations, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 8. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the ultimate outcome of the trial, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. 9. The bail application is, accordingly, allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and

			furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the following conditions: (i) The applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the trial court and shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment. (ii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. (iii) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the trial court. 11. It is clarified that, in the event of misuse of the liberty of bail or violation of any of the conditions imposed hereinabove, the complainant/informant shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent court seeking cancellation of the applicant's bail. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 04-11-2026 SB