SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1174/2026 Ravi Kumar Giri --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Kailash Chandra, learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Dinesh Chandra Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 69 of 2025, registered at Police Station Bhimtal, District Nainital, for the offences punishable under Section 65(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) and Sections 3/4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act). 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. As per the prosecution case, the FIR was lodged by the father of the victim, alleging that the incident took place on 08.11.2025 at about 4:00 p.m. It was alleged that a person named Ravi, originally belonging to Bihar and working as a painter, took his daughter, namely, Annaya, aged about 13 years, to his room and molested and raped her. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that, upon medical examination of the victim, no external

injury marks were found on her body and she was found to be in a normal condition, which, according to learned counsel, creates doubt regarding the prosecution story. It is also contended that there are material contradictions in the statements of the victim and her father and mother.

6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail, contending that the offence alleged is heinous in nature and has serious implications for society. It is further submitted that the victim, in her statement recorded during the course of investigation, has categorically supported the prosecution case.

7. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon careful perusal of the material available on record, particularly the nature and gravity of the offence and the statement of the victim, this Court is of the considered view that no case for grant of bail is made out. Accordingly, the bail application stands rejected.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
03-11-2026

SD BISHA BINJOLA