SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1156/2026 Bharat Singh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Niranjan Bhatt, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Deepak Bisht, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been moved by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 39 of 2025, registered at Police Station Devprayag, District Tehri Garhwal, for the offences punishable under Section 29 read with Sections 8/20/27/60 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred to as the "NDPS Act"). 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the material available on record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been implicated in the present case under Section 29 of the NDPS Act solely on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused, who was apprehended at the spot. It is further submitted that the applicant has no concern with the alleged recovery and has no criminal antecedents. Learned counsel further submits that the co-accused, who was apprehended at the spot, has already been enlarged on bail and, therefore, the applicant is also entitled to bail on the ground of parity.

			5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for bail; however, he fairly acknowledges that the applicant has raised a plea of parity with the co-accused, who has already been granted bail. 6. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been implicated primarily on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused. It is also relevant to note that the co-accused, who was apprehended at the spot, has already been enlarged on bail. Further, no criminal antecedents have been attributed to the applicant. Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the nature of the allegations and the principle of parity, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the ultimate outcome of the trial, this Court is inclined to enlarge the applicant on bail. 8. The bail application is, accordingly, allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon executing a personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject to the following conditions: (i) The applicant shall regularly attend the proceedings before the trial court and shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment. (ii) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to

			dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. (iii) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the trial court. 10. It is clarified that, in the event of misuse of the liberty of bail or violation of any of the conditions imposed hereinabove, the complainant/informant shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the competent court seeking cancellation of the applicant's bail. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 04-11-2026 SB