SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1126/2026 Vipin Bisht --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Ms. Divya Jain, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Pratiroop Pandey, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 54 of 2026, registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Dehradun, for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 351(3), 352 and 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. As per the prosecution case, it is an admitted position that the applicant and the complainant were in a relationship for more than two years. The applicant is stated to be 25 years of age, whereas the complainant is 23 years of age, and both are majors. It is further stated that the complainant voluntarily accompanied the applicant to various places, where physical relations were established between them. However, the applicant allegedly threatened the complainant that he would circulate her obscene photographs and videos if she refused to accompany him. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present case arises out of a love affair which subsequently turned sour.

			It is further submitted that, as stated in the complaint itself, the applicant had disclosed his caste to the complainant and had assured her of marriage. Learned counsel further submits that no obscene photographs or videos, as alleged, have been recovered from the possession of the applicant. It is also pointed out that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 13.03.2026. 6. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the prayer for bail. 7. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, particularly the fact that no obscene photographs or videos have been recovered from the applicant, coupled with the fact that both the applicant and the complainant are majors and were initially in a consensual relationship, which subsequently turned sour, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 8. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 9. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 10. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 11. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 30-10-2026 SB