SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010109062026 **BA1/1094/2026** Deepak Singh --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. D.N. Sharma and Ms. Manju Bahuguna, Advocates for the applicant. 2. Mr. Dinesh Chauhan, A.G.A. for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 0206 of 2026, under Sections 3/4/5/6/7 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act and Sections 16/17 of POCSO Act, registered at P.S.-Rudrapur, District U.S. Nagar, has sought his release on bail. 4. As per the prosecution story, in the garb of SPA, prostitution racked was being conducted at a premise known as Beauty Queen Salon and Spa situated at Baba Chowk, Rudrapur, District U.S. Nagar and during the raid conducted by Anti Human Trafficking Unit, applicant was found present at the said premise. Some girls belonging to State of Mizoram were also present at the spot and upon being asked, allegations relating to immoral activities were levelled against the persons present at the spot. The Anti Human Trafficking Unit rescued those girls from there and recovered mobile phones and other articles from the spot and subsequently the FIR-in-question

			was lodged. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has falsely been implicated in the case; that applicant was only an employee of the Spa and was working in the front office as a receptionist; that one of the victim in her statement has completely denied the entire prosecution story and has stated that allegations of prosecution have wrongly been alleged against the accused persons and no one forced her in prostitution and later on she was declared hostile; that applicant is not the owner or the Manager of the Spa; that applicant is in judicial custody since 06.05.2026, therefore, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 6. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that one of the victim in her statement has completely denied the entire prosecution story and as such, applicant is not the owner or the Manager of the Spa and he is languishing in judicial custody since 06.05.2026, this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 9. The bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 03.11.2026 Ujjwal