SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			C528/1378/2026 Sanjay Sharma --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Pankaj Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Pramod Tiwari, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand. Mr. Sachin Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for respondent no. 2. 2. The present criminal miscellaneous application under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter referred to as the "BNSS"), has been filed by the applicant seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 09.06.2026, passed by the learned Ist Additional Sessions Judge, Roorkee, District Haridwar, in Misc. Criminal Case No. 44 of 2026, as well as the judgment and order dated 31.01.2026, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Roorkee, District Haridwar, in Criminal Complaint Case No. 797 of 2025 (CG No. 2805 of 2025), Medismith Biotech vs. Maateshri Enterprises, under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred to as the "N.I. Act"). 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 and learned State Counsel. 4. Along with the present application, a compounding application, being I.A. No. 02 of 2026, has also been filed. The said application bears the signatures of the parties and has been duly identified by their respective learned counsel. The application is supported by affidavits of the parties,

			wherein it has been stated that they have amicably settled their dispute and that respondent no. 2 does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings any further. 5. The applicant and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court through video conferencing and have been duly identified by their respective learned counsel. 6. This Court has interacted with the parties. During the interaction, the parties submitted that the dispute has been amicably resolved and that they have entered into a settlement out of their own free will and consent, without any coercion, pressure or undue influence. 7. Learned State Counsel has opposed the compounding application. 8. Upon interaction with this Court, respondent no. 2 reiterated that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and that the entire amount has been received in terms of the settlement. Respondent no. 2 further stated that it does not wish to pursue the criminal proceedings any further and has no objection to the quashing of the impugned judgment and orders. 9. Upon interacting with the parties and considering the material available on record, this Court is satisfied that the settlement has been arrived at voluntarily, without any coercion, pressure or undue influence. Respondent no. 2 has unequivocally expressed its intention not to pursue the criminal proceedings any further and has acknowledged receipt of the entire settled amount. 10. Having regard to the nature of the offence under Section 138 of the N.I. Act, the fact that the dispute essentially arises out of a monetary transaction, the complete settlement arrived at between the parties and the receipt of the entire settled amount by respondent no. 2, this Court has considered whether continuation of the

criminal proceedings, despite the settlement, would serve any useful purpose or whether exercise of the inherent jurisdiction of this Court would be warranted in the interest of justice.

11. Accordingly, the compounding application, being I.A. No. 02 of 2026, is allowed. Consequently, the impugned judgment and order dated 31.01.2026, passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate-II, Roorkee, District Haridwar, in Criminal Complaint Case No. 797 of 2025 (CG No. 2805 of 2025), Medismith Biotech vs. Maateshri Enterprises, as well as the order dated 09.06.2026, passed by the learned Ist Additional Sessions Judge, Roorkee, District Haridwar, in Misc. Criminal Case No. 44 of 2026, are hereby quashed. The applicant stands acquitted of the offence punishable under Section 138 of the N.I. Act.

12. Consequently, the present criminal miscellaneous application stands disposed of in the above terms.

13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Alok Mahra, J.)
18-11-2026

SHIKHA SB