SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1037/2026 Sarafaraj --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant through Video Conferencing. 2. Mr. Akshay Latwal and Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned Deputy A.G. and Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant- Sarafaraj, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 502 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Laksar, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5/11 of the Uttarakhand Cow Progeny Act, 2007 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed the offence alleged in the F.I.R. He would further submit that there is no independent witness to the alleged recovery; that, the applicant is languishing in jail since 28.05.2026; that, he has no previous criminal history; and that he is an innocent person.

			6. Learned counsel would further submit that the applicant is a permanent resident of District Haridwar and, therefore, there is no likelihood of his absconding; that, the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future and in view of the aforesaid submissions and the facts and circumstances of the case, learned counsel prays that the applicant be enlarged on bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail on the ground that the applicant was apprehended at the spot. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 28.05.2026. 8. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 28.05.2026. Having regard to the period of incarceration, the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail, upon his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the conditions imposed by the court concerned. Mamta MA MTA RANI Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postal.code=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8ddd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI Date: 2026.11.03 10:10:32 +05'30' **(Alok Mahra J.)** 30.10.2026