SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/1017/2026 Musaib --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. 2. Mr. Prabhat Kandpal, learned A.G.A. along with Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State. 3. Applicant-Musaib, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 72 of 2026 registered at Police Station-Bhagwanpur, District Haridwar for the offences punishable under Sections 3/5/11 of the Uttarakhand Cow Progeny Act, 2007 has sought his release on bail. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case and has not committed the alleged offence; that, the name of the applicant

			surfaced solely on the basis of allegations made by a private NGO, and no independent material has been brought on record to establish his involvement in the alleged offence; that, the applicant has been implicated due to local village politics; that, nothing has been recovered either from the possession of the applicant or at his instance. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that, as of date, no report of the Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL) has been brought on record to establish that the alleged recovered meat belonged to cow progeny. He would also submit that no independent witness has been associated with the alleged recovery. It is further contended that the applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 22.05.2026; that, there is no likelihood of the applicant tampering with the prosecution evidence or absconding, as he is permanent resident of District Haridwar. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the fact that the applicant is languishing in jail since 22.05.2026. 8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on

			record, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant shall released on bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 28.10.2026 Mamta MA MTA RANI Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI Date: 2026.10.29 16:03:59 +05'30'