SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010094312026 **C528/1213/2026** Nakul Agni --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Kaushal Pandey, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, Brief Holder for the State. 3. Mr. Mukesh Kaparuwan, Advocate for respondent no. 2. 4. The present criminal misc. application is filed with a prayer to set-aside the chargesheet, summoning/cognizance order as well as the entire proceedings of Session Trial No. 155 of 2025, under Sections 376 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Addl. District Judge/FTSC, District U.S. Nagar, on the basis of compromise between the parties. 5. In this case, an FIR was lodged by the complainant, in which, it was mentioned that the applicant and the complainant came to know each other through social-media in the month of April, 2023 and thereafter they developed intimacy and on several occasions, physical relations were also established between them. Applicant had repeatedly assured the complainant that they would marry each other, but, in the month of May, 2025, attitude of the applicant got totally changed and he bluntly refused to marry the complainant. As such, the

			present FIR was lodged. 6. Now, Compounding Application is filed in the matter wherein it is prayed to compound the offences between the parties. 7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the parties have amicably settled their dispute and have entered into a compromise. It is further informed that age of the applicant is 26 years while complainant is 30 years of age. 8. Both the parties are present before this Court through video conferencing and are duly identified by their respective counsel. Parties have also filed their respective affidavits stating the facts of compromise between them. 9. The Court interacted with the parties. Upon interaction, it is submitted that now complainant has amicably settled her dispute with the applicant and she does not want to pursue with the criminal proceedings against the applicant. Complainant further submits that FIR was lodged as she was dejected by the refusal of the applicant to marry her. 10. At this stage, learned State Counsel raised a preliminary objection to the effect that the offences sought to be compounded are non-compoundable. 11. However, the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana reported in (2003) 4 S.C.C., Page 675, has permitted compounding of non-compoundable offences with the permission of Court. 12. Furthermore, Hon'ble Supreme Court, in a catena of its judgments, has observed that in cases where because of the compromise arrived at between the parties, possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, the High Court may quash the criminal proceedings as continuation of the same would cause great prejudice and injustice to the accused. Since the parties have entered into a compromise, therefore, possibility of the trial resulting into conviction of the accused is remote

			and bleak and, that being so, continuation of criminal proceedings would visit the accused with great oppression, prejudice and injustice. Rather, it would tantamount to abuse of process of law. Ends of justice would be met if criminal proceedings are put to an end, because this would allow the parties to translate their decision to live in peace in the reality. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery. 13. In view of the above discussion and also considering the fact that both the victim and the applicant were in love relation and, as such, both are mature enough to understand the consequences of their acts and deeds, the present compounding application is allowed. The offences between the parties are permitted to be compounded. As a result, the entire proceedings of Session Trial No. 155 of 2025, under Sections 376 and 506 of IPC, pending in the court of learned Addl. District Judge/FTSC, District U.S. Nagar, are hereby quashed *qua* the applicant. 14. Accordingly, the present criminal misc. application stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 21.11.2026 Ujjwal