SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			CRLR/405/2026 Ravindra Kumar --Revisionist **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand and Another --Respondents **Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.** Mr. R.P. Singh, learned counsel for the revisionist. 2. Mr. Vikas Uniyal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 3. Mr. Shueb Ali, learned counsel for respondent No.2. 4. Mr. Ravindra Kumar, revisionist in person. 5. Ms. Lalita, respondent No.2 in person. 6. By means of the present criminal revision, the revisionist has assailed the order dated 21.04.2026, passed in Miscellaneous Case No.100 of 2025, Smt. Lalita versus Ravindra Kumar" ("the case"), by the Court of Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Laksar, Haridwar. By it, the maintenance application filed by the respondent No.2 has been allowed and the revisionist has been directed to pay a sum of Rs. 22,000/- per month as interim maintenance to the respondent No.2 from the date of filing the application. 7. Today, the revisionist and the respondent no.2 have filed the Compounding Application, IA No.4 of 2026, along with a joint compromise and affidavit of the revisionist as well as of the respondent no.2. Along with the compounding application, they have sought directions for setting aside of the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 21.04.2026, passed in the case.

			8. In the joint compromise, the parties have stated that the dispute has been settled amicably between the parties and the second party now does not want to proceed with the proceedings of the impugned order dated 21.04.2026, passed in the case. 9. Affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondent no.2, in which she has reiterated that the matter has been settled amicably between the parties and now, there remains no dispute between them, and the revisionist and the respondent are ready to compound the offence. She does not want to prosecute the present case. 10. The respondent no.2 Lalita is present in person before the Court. On interaction, she has stated before the Court that she does not want to prosecute the revisionist with regard to the impugned order, and now both have them settled their dispute amicably, and they are residing happily together, and the matter may be closed. 11. On interaction with the revisionist, he too makes a similar statement that the matter has been settled between the parties, and seeks allowing of the compounding application and quashing of the impugned order. 12. Since the compounding application has been filed, and the matter emanates out from a matrimonial dispute, and now the parties have settled the dispute amicably and now they are residing happily together, nothing survives in this matter, and the compounding application deserves to be allowed. 13. The compounding application is allowed and the order dated 21.04.2026, passed in Miscellaneous Case No.100 of 2025, Smt. Lalita versus Ravindra Kumar" ("the case"), by the Court of Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Laksar, Haridwar is set aside.

			14. The revision stands disposed of, accordingly. **(Hon'ble Siddhartha Sah, J.)** 30-10-2026 R.Bisht