SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			UKHC010090342026 **BA1/913/2026** Vinayak Tyagi --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. S.R.S. Gill, Advocate for the applicant. 2. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, Brief Holder for the State. 3. The applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with FIR/Case Crime No. 110 of 2026, under Sections 103(1), 309(6), 238(a), 317(2) of BNS, registered at P.S.-Kotwali Manglore, District Haridwar, has sought his release on bail. 4. In this case, an FIR was lodged by the cousin brother of the deceased in which it was mentioned that his brother did not return home since the morning of 16.02.2026 at 08:00 AM and now his body has been found in a grove area adjoining Gangnahar, Manglore, Patri. Subsequently, FIR was lodged, but, no one was named in the FIR. During investigation, applicant was arrested and from his pointing out, Jacket of the deceased was recovered in which Rs. 20,000/- were recovered from the pocket. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that no specific role has been assigned to the applicant; that he has been made an accused

			only on the basis of last-seen evidence; that last-seen evidence is of 09:18 AM and information about the body of the deceased being found was given by the Chairman of the Town Area much later; that besides this, there is no other evidence to corroborate the prosecution story; and, as such, there is no eye-witness to the whole incident and furthermore from where the body was recovered is an open place visible to a public view; that applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 22.02.2026, therefore, the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 6. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the bail application. 7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the records. 8. Having considered the submissions, under the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that applicant has been made an accused only on the basis of last-seen evidence and furthermore there is no eye-witness to the whole incident and besides this, from where the body was recovered is an open place visible to a public view and, as such, applicant is languishing in judicial custody since 22.02.2026, and this Court is of the view that it is a case fit for bail and the applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. 9. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail, on executing personal bond and furnishing two reliable sureties, each of like amount, to the satisfaction of Court concerned, subject the following conditions:- "i) The applicant shall attend the trial Court regularly, and, he will not seek any unnecessary adjournment. ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any

person acquainted with the facts of this case.

iii) The applicant shall not leave India without any prior permission of the trial Court."

11. It is clarified that if the applicant misuses or violates any of the conditions, imposed upon him, the prosecution will be free to move the court for cancellation of bail.

(Alok Mahra J.)
04.11.2026

Ujjwal