SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/793/2026 Gopal Kashyap --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Karan Singh Dugtal, learned counsel and Mr. Akram Parvez (through Video Conferencing), learned legal aid counsel for the applicant. 2. Ms. Meenakshi Sharma and Mr. Himanshu Sain, Brief Holders for the State. 3. Applicant- Gopal Kashyap, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime/F.I.R. No. 42 of 2025 registered at Police Station-Jhankaiya, District Udham Singh Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 64(1), 87 of the B.N.S. and Section 5/6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012. 4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record. 5. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He would further submit that initially, a missing report was lodged by

			the father of the victim, alleging that the victim had left her house at around 4:00 A.M. on 12.03.2025; that, the victim was subsequently recovered from the company of the present applicant on 25.03.2025. It is further submitted that, in her statements recorded under Sections 182 and 183 of the B.N.S.S., the victim stated that she had left her house of her own free will, that she had been in a love relationship with the applicant for more than three years, and that she intended to marry him; however, his family members were not agreeable to their relationship. 6. Learned counsel would further submit that the aforesaid statements of the victim indicate that she had left her house voluntarily and without any coercion or force, as she and the applicant had been in a love relationship. He would further submit that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 26.03.2025, is a permanent resident of District Udham Singh Nagar, and there is no likelihood of his absconding; that, the trial is not likely to conclude in the near future, therefore, the applicant is entitled for bail. 7. Per contra, learned State counsel would vehemently oppose the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute that the applicant has been in judicial custody since 26.03.2025 or that the victim, in her statements, has stated that she and the applicant were in a love relationship. 8. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned

			counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the victim, in her statements, has stated that she had left her house of her own free will and that she had been in a love relationship with the applicant for more than three years. 9. Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail. Accordingly, the 1st bail application is allowed. 10. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. **(Alok Mahra J.)** 26.10.2026 Mamta MA MTA RANI Digitally signed by MAMTA RANI DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=6a812005bebfcf46f244f3e584af1449e430ef900bf09a6d67ebbd642671329b, postalCode=263001, st=Uttarakhand, serialNumber=5de1751a4f1d9cabfd54852c9e68911ca8b66dd26690a191648ab5d8dd004ef0, cn=MAMTA RANI Date: 2026.10.27 11:49:32 +05'30'