SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			BA1/762/2026 Salam --Applicant **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.** Mr. Bilal Ahmed and Mr. Gaurav Singh, learned counsel for the applicant. Mr. Manoj Bhatt, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand. 2. The present bail application has been filed by the applicant, who is in judicial custody in connection with Case Crime No. 243 of 2026, registered at Police Station Laksar, District Haridwar, for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 190, 191(2), 191(3), 332A, 351(2) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), and Section 3 read with Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959. 3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned State Counsel and perused the record. 4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been implicated solely on the basis of the statement of a co-accused. It is further submitted that the co-accused who was named in the FIR has already been granted either anticipatory bail or regular bail. Learned counsel also submits that no injury has been sustained by anyone in the alleged incident and that the prosecution has sought to give a criminal colour to the incident by setting up a false version of the occurrence. 5. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail application.

			6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, particularly the submissions advanced on behalf of the applicant regarding his alleged implication on the basis of the statement of a co-accused, the grant of bail to the co-accused named in the FIR, and the absence of any injury in the alleged incident, this Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail. 7. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed. 8. Let the applicant be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond and two reliable sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned. 9. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are confined to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. 10. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of accordingly. **(Alok Mahra, J.)** 29-10-2026 SB BINJOLA