SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPCRL/838/2026 Vaishali and Another --Petitioners **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand and Others --Respondents **Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.** Mr. Rajveer Singh, learned counsel for petitioners, through V.C. **2.** Mr. Tumul Nainwal, learned A.G.A. with Ms. Sweta Badola Dobhal, learned Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand/respondent Nos.1 to 3. **3.** Mr. Bilal Ahmed, learned counsel for respondent No.4, through V.C. **4.** This writ petition has been filed by petitioners seeking protection on apprehension of threat at the hands of respondent No.4 who is father of petitioner No.1-Vaishali. **5.** Petitioner No.1 has filed her school leaving certificate, which is annexed as Annexure No.1 to the misc. application (IA/1/2026), in which, her date of birth is written as 20.07.2010. There is also copy of family register issued by the Nagar Panchayat, Laksar, which is also part of the record, and in which, date of birth of petitioner No.1 is shown as 20.07.2007. Since, there was dispute with regard to date of birth of petitioner No.1, under the orders of this Court, the ossification test of petitioner No.1 was conducted by a team of doctors and a report was submitted in seal cover by

CMO, Haridwar.

6. By the earlier order dated 13.10.2026, the seal was opened by the orders of this Court; having perused the said document, it is reported that petitioner No.1 is approximately 18 years of age. On the basis of said ossification test report, age certificate was issued by CMO Haridwar dated 01.10.2026, which was taken on record along with forwarding letter.

7. Petitioners-Vaishali and Vishal are present before this Court, who are duly identified by their counsel. Mother of petitioner No.1 is also present before this Court.

8. Petitioners submit before this Court that they have got married out of their own will and without any coercion and are living happy married life at present and there is no threat to them neither from respondent No.4 nor did from anybody else in the society.

9. In such view of the matter, no order is required to be passed. Criminal writ petition is accordingly closed. However, it is made clear that if in future, petitioners feel any threat to their person and property, they will be at liberty to approach this Court again.

10. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
03.11.2026

PN PREETI NEGI